UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
WTC CAPTIVE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC.,	x : : ORDER
Plaintiff, -against-	: O7 Civ. 1209 (AKH)
LIBERTY MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY; CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, AND CERTAIN LONDON MARKET INSURANCE COMPANIES; ASSICURAZIONI GENERALI S.P.A.; and GENERAL SECURITY INDEMNITY COMPANY OF ARIZONA, as attorney in fact for and successor in interest to GENERAL SECURITY INDEMNITY COMPANY (now known as HUDSON SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY),	USDC SONY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: \2\((4\(0)\)
Defendants.	: :
ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.:	x

Defendants Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London, Certain London Market Insurance Companies, Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A., and General Security Indemnity Company of Arizona (collectively the "Excess Insurers") move to dismiss the Complaint for a lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiff WTC Captive Insurance Co., Inc. and Plaintiffs-Intervenors (plaintiffs who have claims pending in 21 MC 100, 21 MC 102 or 21 MC 103) oppose the Excess Insurers' motion.

Because I find, upon a review of the Complaint, that I have supplemental jurisdiction over this dispute pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), the motion to dismiss the complaint on subject matter jurisdiction grounds is DENIED. The outcome of this insurance dispute will directly impact the scope and extent of available funds in the September 11 litigations. I hold that the reasons I articulated for exercising supplemental jurisdiction in a previous insurance coverage dispute involving the Port Authority, In re September 11 Liability

Insurance Coverage Cases, 333 F.Supp.2d 111, 115-19 (S.D.N.Y. 2004), are fully applicable

here. Therefore, the Excess Insurers' motion to dismiss is DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

December 14, 2007

New York, New York

ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN

United States District Judge